## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

THETTUS WESTFIELD	)
10726 Dupont Ave.	) Case No: 1:12-cv-807
Cleveland, Ohio 44108,	)
	) JURY DEMAND REQUESTED
Plaintiff,	)
V.	CIVIL COMPLAINT
	(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)
BUDZIK & DYNIA, LLC	)
c/o Statutory Agent, Alfred S. Dynia	)
4849 North Milwaukee Avenue, Suite 801	)
Chicago, Illinois 60630,	)
	)
Defendant.	)
	)
COMPLAINT	

THETIUS WESTFIELD (Plaintiff), by her attorneys, KAHN AND ASSOCIATES, L.L.C., alleges the following against BUDZIK & DYNIA, LLC. (Defendant):

## **INTRODUCTION**

Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15
 U.S.C. 1692 et seq. (FDCPA).

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. 1367 grants this court supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims contained therein.
- 3. Because Defendant conducts business in Ohio, personal jurisdiction is established.
- 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 *U.S.C.* 1391(b)(2).

#### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person who resides in Cleveland, Cuyahoga County, Ohio and is allegedly obligated to pay a debt, and Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(3).
- 6. Pursuant to the definitions outlined in 15 U.S.C. 1692a(1-6), Defendant is a debt collector and sought to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff which was allegedly due and owing from Plaintiff, and Plaintiff is a consumer debtor.
- Defendant is an Illinois business entity and a debt collector with an office in Chicago, Illinois.
- 8. Defendant uses instrumentalities of interstate commerce or the mails in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of debts, and/or regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another and is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 9. Defendant is a collection agency that in the ordinary course of business, regularly, on behalf of itself or others, engages in debt collection.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 10. Defendant has telephoned Plaintiff 2-3 times a day since mid-October 2011 from phone number 866.753.7316 to Plaintiff's number, 216.249.3220, seeking payment from Plaintiff on an alleged consumer debt for an outstanding balance on a Discovery credit card account.
- 11. Defendant has continued to place daily calls despite Plaintiff advising Defendant that she is elderly and without sufficient funds or income to make any payment,

- and despite Plaintiff's requests that Defendant cease further calls or contact with her regarding the alleged repayment obligation.
- 12. Despite Plaintiff's notices above, Defendant has also threatened to promptly file a civil lawsuit in court in the event Plaintiff refused to immediately resolve the alleged debt or make other suitable payment arrangements. Defendant in fact specifically remarked that it was planning its legal action in an effort to coerce Plaintiff into to making immediate payment or payment arrangements.
- 13. To Plaintiff's knowledge, Defendant never made its threatened civil filing against her, and Defendant's calls and contacts, especially after being advised of Plaintiff's age and inability to pay, were done with the intent to harass and annoy Plaintiff as the call recipient.

# COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 14. Defendant violated the FDCPA based on the following:
  - a. Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse the Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a debt.
  - b. Defendant violated §1692d(5) of the FDCPA by causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
  - c. Defendant violated  $\S 1692e(5)$  by threatening to take any action that cannot be legally taken or is not intended to be taken.
  - d. Defendant violated  $\S1692e(2)(A)$  by the false representation of the

character, amount, or legal status of a debt.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, THETIUS WESTFIELD respectfully requests judgment be entered against Defendant, BUDZIK & DYNIA, LLC, for the following:

- 15. Statutory damages of \$1000.00 pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k,
- 16. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k
- 17. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff, THETIUS WESTFIELD, requests a jury trial in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

## KAHN & ASSOCIATES, LLC

/s/ David W. Skall

## **DAVID W. SKALL (0068740)**

dskall@kahnandassociates.com 6200 Rockside Woods Blvd., Suite 215 Cleveland, Ohio 44131 216.621.6101 Telephone 216.621.6006 Facsimile

Attorney for Plaintiff